

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING D	DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/833,961	04/11/2001		Edmund V. Seder	371-20-055	3813
7	590	09/20/2002			
Marvin E. Jacobs				EXAMINER	
KOPPEL & JACOBS 2151 Alessandro Drive, Suite 215				BARRETT, THOMAS C	
Ventura, CA 93001				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				3738	

DATE MAILED: 09/20/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/833,961	SEDER ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Thomas C. Barrett	3738				
.4 The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM							
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
2a) 🗌	, —	nis action is non-final.	et				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>17-22</u> is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(s)							
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 2	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 3738

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-13, drawn to anti-microbial device, classified in class 604, subclass 265.
- II. Claims 14-16, drawn to a method of increasing the life of a valve, classified in class 422, subclass 28.
- III. Claims 17-22, drawn to a voice prosthesis, classified in class 623, subclass 9.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions II and I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product can be made by another and materially different process that does not require a valve or cartridge.

Inventions II and III are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product using a cartridge instead of a valve.

Art Unit: 3738

Inventions I and III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, such as invention I may be used for a catheter and invention II can be used a voice prosthesis.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

During a telephone conversation with Marvin Jacobs on September 13, 2002 a provisional election was made with traverse to prosecute the invention of a voice prosthesis, claims 17-22. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 1-16 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

## Specification

The lengthy specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is

Art Unit: 3738

requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claim 18 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. Claim 18 claims "the body is in contact with tissue", and is therefore positively claiming a living tissue. The living matter of the present invention is not the result of human intervention; it is of nature, which has been held not patentable.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 17-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In lines 8-11 of claim 17, there is an apparent contradiction where the "outside surface" is and is not in contact with the tissue. In addition, it is unclear which "outside surface" in line 10 is being referred to.

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 09/833,961

Art Unit: 3738

In addition, the grouping of claims 21 and 22 are unclear. Perhaps claim 21 would be clearer as "selected from metal salts, metal oxides and organic antimicrobial materials". Furthermore, it is unclear whether Claim 22 is read as "butyl paraben <u>and</u> triclosan (in combination are) in an amount from 0.2 to 5% by weight dispersed..." or "butyl paraben **or** triclosan in an amount from 0.2 to 5% by weight dispersed..."

Claims 18 and 20, though not indefinite, could be more clearly written. Claim 18 might read better as "the body is non-irritating and non-toxic to said tissue" and claim 20 might read better as "containing a dispersion or outside coating of an antimicrobial material."

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 17-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Laguette et al. (5,578,083) as disclosed in Applicant's IDS. Laguette et al. discloses a voice prosthesis comprising a body with a central channel

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 3738

Claims 17-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Laguette et al. (5,578,083) as disclosed in Applicant's IDS in view of Priscott (WO 97/14447). Laguette et al. discloses a voice prosthesis comprising a body and a valve, made from a silicone elastomer, however Laguette et al. fails to disclose the use of triclosan as an organic antimicrobial coating. Priscott teaches the use of triclosan in the manufacture of medical devices, such as a voice prosthesis (Abstract), which, when incorporated into a polymer or biomaterial in the range of 0.5- about 5% by weight (p 7, lines 6-8), provides continuous, inherent control of the growth of a broad range of organisms (p 6, lines 20-24). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teaching of the use of triclosan in the manufacture of a voice prosthesis, as taught by Priscott, to a voice prosthesis comprising a body and a valve, made from a silicone elastomer as per Laguette et al., in order to provide continuous, inherent control of the growth of a broad range of organisms.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thomas C. Barrett whose telephone number is (703) 308-8295. The examiner can normally be reached Tuesday-Friday between 9:00 A.M. and 6:00 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor. Corrine McDermott can be reached on (703) 308-2111. The fax phone

Art Unit: 3738

numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 305-3580 for regular communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308 0850.

Thomas Barrett

September 16, 2002

BRUCE SNOW
PRIMARY EXAMINER